



Making Address Spaces Smaller

ICS332 Operating Systems

Henri Casanova (henric@hawaii.edu)

Smaller Address Spaces

- Having small address spaces is always a good idea
- It's good for swapping:
 - Non't swap as often (because if address spaces are small, then RAM looks bigger),
 - Faster to swap (because reading/writing a smaller address space from/to disk is faster)
- **Technique #1: Dynamic Memory Allocation in your programs**
 - Ask programs to tell the OS exactly how much memory they need when they need it (`malloc`, `new`) so that we don't always allocate the maximum allowed RAM to each process
 - You have all done this
 - But there was a time when it wasn't so and you had to declare ALL your memory in advance (even if your code ends up not using it - e.g., due to particular user input!)
- Let's look at two other techniques

Dynamic Loading

- Simple idea: only load code/text when it's needed
- Done by code written by the programmer for this purpose
 - The OS is not involved, but provides tools to make dynamic loading possible/easy
- Supported in all (decent) programming languages /OSes
 - C/C++:
 - POSIX: `dlopen`, `dlsym`, ...
 - Windows: `LoadLibrary`
 - Python (let's look at the example on the course's Web site...)
 - `import` statement anywhere in the program
 - Java (let's look at the example on the course's Web site...)
 - `ClassLoader` class
- This is the same idea as dynamic memory allocation, but for code:
 - I call `malloc()` if I need some bytes
 - I dynamically load some code if I need to run it

Dynamic Linking

- The other technique is Dynamic Linking
- This is more involved, involves the OS, and is done all the time
- All the slides after this are about Dynamic Linking
- Linking is the process by which binary code (object files, libraries) is glued together to form an executable
- First, let's review what Static Linking does...

Static Linking

- Static Linking is the historical way of reusing code
 - Add the assembly code of useful functions (say, `printf...`) from a **library** to your own executable.
 - e.g., `libc.a` for Linux; `MSVCRT.LIB` for Windows)
- Example on Linux:
 - `gcc -static HelloWorld.c -o HelloWorld`
 - `nm HelloWorld` (shows `libc` functions)
 - `objdump -d HelloWorld` (shows a LOT of code you didn't write)
- Problem #1: Large text
 - On my Linux Docker, the HelloWorld executable is 770 KB!
- Problem #2: Some code is (very likely) duplicated in memory
 - My program is (very likely) not the only one to use `printf!`
- **Key idea:** Why not share text (i.e., code) between processes?

Dynamic Linking

- In spirit similar to dynamic loading, **but the OS loads the code automatically and different running programs can share the code**
- The code is shared in **shared libraries**:
 - e.g., libc.so for Linux (so = shared object)
 - MSVCRT.DLL for Windows (DLL = Dynamic-link library)
- Linux example:
 - `gcc -shared -fPIC HelloWorld.c -o HelloWorld`
 - `nm HelloWorld; objdump -d HelloWorld`
- On my Linux Docker, the HelloWorld executable is 16KB (compared to the 770KB statically linked one)!

Shared Libraries - How does it work?

- When dynamic linking is enabled, the linker just puts a **stub** in the binary for each shared library routine reference
- That stub is a piece of code that:
 - checks whether the routine is loaded in memory
 - if not, then loads it into memory “shared” (with all processes)
 - then replaces itself with a simple call to the routine (it’s self-modifying code!)
 - The first call is expensive, all future calls will be “for free”
- Chances are that when you run HelloWorld, the code of **printf** is already in memory because some other programs has used it before you
 - You save space and time!

Shared Library - Easy Updates

- Haven't you wondered how come you can update your system (i.e., libraries) and not have to recompile all your executables???
 - This would be insanely inconvenient!
- Provided the APIs have not changed you can just:
 - Replace a shared library (.so, .dll) by a new one
 - Ask the system to “reload” it
 - And now it all magically works!
 - If the update was critical (i.e., security) then a reboot may be required
- Dynamic Linking requires help from the OS
 - To break memory isolation and allow shared text segments among processes
 - We will see that this comes “for free” with paging (next Module)

Shared Library Usage

- On Linux system the `ldd` command will print the shared libraries required by a program
- For instance, let us look at the shared libraries used by `/bin/date`
 - The compiler adds stuff in the executable so that `ldd` can find this information and display it
- It turns out that, in Linux, you can easily override functions from loaded shared libraries by creating yourself a small shared library
- Let's try this to do something useful...

Example: C and Memory Leaks

- As you know, in C you allocate/free memory with `malloc()` and `free()`
- Every call to `malloc()` should have a matching call to `free()`
- This is easier said than done, as you might know
 - But perhaps you didn't care about memory leaks when writing C (which is "ok" for homework, but not "ok" in real life!)
- Wouldn't it be great if somehow the code counted calls to `malloc()` and `free()`?
- Let's do that with a small shared library...

First Step: Overriding `exit()`

- I've written the code for a shared library that overrides the `exit()` library function
- To create a shared library `.so` file:
 - `gcc -fPIC -DPIC -c custom shared library.c -o custom shared library.o`
 - `ld -shared -ldl -o custom shared library.so custom shared library.o`
- Then, one can enable the shared library by setting the `LD_PRELOAD` environment variable to the path of the shared library
- I've put together the code above and a Makefile that does everything, including compiling a small program that just does an `exit()`
 - See the "Example source code" reading in this module
 - Let's look at all this and run it...

Overriding `malloc()` and `exit()`

- Let's now augment our custom shared library to override `malloc()` and `free()`
- Objective: keep counts of calls to `malloc()` / `calloc()` and calls to `free()`, and print a warning if they don't match!
- The code is on the web site (in the "Example source code" reading), but let's try to do it live...
 - One difficulty: `printf()` calls `malloc()`, so if we call `printf()` from `malloc()` we'll have an infinite recursion!!
- Let's then try to run a leaky C program, a Java program, make, anything really....
- Note we don't have to re-compile any of those programs!

This is Very Useful

- We could augment what we just did to make it way more useful and user friendly
- For instance, we could find out where calls to `malloc()` are placed (i.e., which lines of code) and then report on which ones were not freed!
- Turns out, tools exist that do this already: `valgrind`, `purify`...
 - Let's run `valgrind` on our leaky C program...
- More generally: You're not happy with a function in a standard lib? Rewrite it and replace it on-the-fly!
- And since this is very useful / powerful, it can also be dangerous
 - If you have a bug in your shared library, then you're stuck and nothing will work (e.g., "you broke `malloc()`!!")
 - Which is why we test with the `LD PRELOAD` environment variable instead of making the new shared library the default system-wide

Main Takeaways

- The developer can save on RAM:
 - Use space-efficient data structures
 - Use Dynamic Memory Allocation
- But languages/compiler/OS provides things to help:
 - Dynamic loading: load code only when it's about to be used
 - Provided by most languages
 - Dynamic linking: don't duplicate code in RAM when used by multiple processes
 - And makes library upgrades really easy to deal with

Conclusion

- We may have to swap processes to disk because we run out of RAM
 - Making address spaces as small as possible is thus a good idea
 - Won't have to swap as often, not as costly to swap if needed
 - **Bottom Line:** let's not waste bytes!
-
- We'll have a QUIZ on this entire module next week
 - This concludes all material for Midterm #2!!